

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,967		08/20/2003	Hiroyuki Nansei	030993	4992
23850	759	90 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMST	RONG	G, KRATZ, QUINTO	THOMAS, TONIAE M		
1725 K S SUITE 10		r, nw		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	GTON	N, DC 20006		2822	
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

··· • • • •		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Course		10/643,967	NANSEI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Toniae M. Thomas	2822					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communicatio  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
		This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	·	• •					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th			d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
• • •								
Attachment  1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∧</b> □	(DTO 440)					
<i>,</i> —	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-29, drawn to a process of making a semiconductor device,
     classified in class 438, subclass 758+.
  - II. Claims 30-38, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 314.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, and the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, in addition to forming a composite gate-insulating layer in an MNOS device and a composite dielectric film in a floating gate device, the claimed process can also be used to form a composite dielectric for a storage capacitor in a DRAM device. Also, the plasma oxidizing step of the claimed process is not required to form the claimed product. Omitting the plasma oxidizing step from the

claimed process results in another and materially different process that can be used to form the claimed device

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - I. species of Group I invention process of making a semiconductor device (claims 1-29):
    - i. The process of figs. 1A-1C (claims 1-11);
    - ii. The process of figs. 2A-2B (claims 12-20); and
    - iii. The process of figs. 3A-3B (claims 21-29);
  - II. species of Group II invention semiconductor device (claims 30-38):
    - i. The semiconductor device of figs. 4A-13A (claims 30-34); and
    - ii. The semiconductor device of figs. 15A-19A and 20 (claims 35-38).
- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of the elected invention for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. For example, if Applicant elects the invention of Group I, claims 1-29, then Applicant must additionally elect one of the following species for prosecution: i. the process of figs. 1A-1C (claims 1-11); ii. the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,967

Art Unit: 2822

process of figs. 2A-2B (claims 12-20); and iii. the process of figs. 3A-3B (claims 21-29). Currently, no claim is generic.

Page 4

- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. A telephone call was made to William Kratz on 11 February 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/643,967

Art Unit: 2822

1

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toniae M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMT

23 February 2004

` AMIR **ZARABIAN** SUPERMSORY PATENT EXAMINER

Liveline white will be the control

Page 5